United States District Court

for the District of North Carolina Western United States of America v. 3:20-cr-434-KDB-DSC-1 Case No: James Edward Massey USM No: 24030-509 Date of Original Judgment: 8/12/2021 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: Taylor Goodnight/Kelly Johnson (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of ⊠ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is: \boxtimes DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 8/12/2021 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: December 11, 2023 Kenneth D. Bell United States District Judge Effective Date: Kenneth D. Bell

(if different from order date)

Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: James Edward M	lassey				
CASE NUMBER: 3:20-cr-434-	KDB-DSC-1		_		
DISTRICT: Western District of	North Carolina		-		
I. COURT DETERMINATIO	N OF GUIDEL	INE RANG	GE (Prior to Any Departures)		
Previous Total Offense Level:			Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History Category:			Criminal History Category:		
Previous Guideline Range:	to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to	months
	thin the amended sonment imposed alt of a substantial amended guideli	guideline i l was less tl l assistance ne range.	range. nan the guideline range applicable departure or Rule 35 reduction, a		

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

The amendments to U.S.S.G. §4A1.1 and §4C1.1 in Amendment 821 do not change Defendant's criminal history points or criminal history category as Defendant did not have any "status points" as his offense was not committed while he was under a criminal sentence, and he was not a zero-point offender as Defendant has 15 criminal history points. (Doc. No. 19, ¶51).